

# Tips and hints for a successful proposal based on the experience of an evaluator

eContent*plus* Infoday  
Bratislava, 26 June 2007

F. Milani



European Commission  
Information Society and Media



# Agenda

- eContentplus evaluation process
- Suggestions for a successful proposal



# Evaluation Process



# Evaluation process

Proposals are evaluated

- By independent experts
- Against pre-defined criteria (award criteria) set out in the work programme



# Evaluation Process – Award Criteria

- Each criterion scored from 1 to 10: max. score 100
  1. Relevance and European dimension (weight = 2)
  2. Content, impact and additional conditions (weight = 4)
  3. Quality of the technical part of the proposal (weight = 2)
  4. Resources and management (weight = 2)
- Proposals scoring less than 6/10 on criterion 1 are not evaluated further



# Evaluation process – 1<sup>st</sup> phase

## Individual evaluation

- 3 experts read proposals by themselves (1-2 hours per proposal) and draft the



- Individual Assessment Report



# Evaluation process – 2<sup>nd</sup> phase

## Consensus evaluation

- The 3 experts meet and discuss the proposals to reach consensus on evaluation and comments against criteria
- One expert designated as rapporteur to draft the



- Consensus Report



# Evaluation process – 3<sup>rd</sup> phase

## Panel meeting

- All experts meet to compare top proposals of a given action and to agree overall ranking



- Evaluation Summary Report = feedback to proposers on evaluation result





# Evaluation Process – Points to keep in mind

- If 3 experts cannot reach consensus, 4<sup>th</sup> opinion will be sought
- In-built check points ensure fairness of process
- Proposals are evaluated by experts from different backgrounds (users, content providers, R&D, etc.)
- Time for 1<sup>st</sup> reading is 1-2 hours



# Suggestions for a successful proposal



European Commission  
Information Society and Media

# Suggestions for a successful proposal

## Call documentation

- Read carefully the documentation provided by the Commission
  - Work programme
  - Guidelines for proposers
  - Evaluation criteria



# Suggestions for a successful proposal

## Concise proposal - clear objectives

- Organise your proposal according to clearly identified, achievable objectives
- Write the first part of your proposal with particular care (it serves to show relevance and European dimension)
  - Make sure your idea and the relevance of the project are understood
  - Avoid too many technical details, create interest



# Suggestions for a successful proposal

## Miscellaneous tips and hints ...

- Analyse other projects funded by the Commission
- Highlight points that make your proposal stand out (avoid the “yet another ...”)
- Take into account all the award criteria
- Ask a colleague (not directly involved) to evaluate your proposal based on the award criteria (within 1-2 hours) – Result?



# eContentPlus specific

- **Technology**
  - Avoid proposals focused on creation and development of new technologies
  - Detail the technology that is going to be used and how
  - Describe also the weaknesses of the technologies used



# eContentPlus specific

- **Content**

- Clearly identify the quality and quantity of content to be used
- Explain why the content used is sufficient (from the user point of view) for achieving the objectives of the project (critical mass)
- Content must be provided and used by several European countries (European dimension)



# eContentPlus specific

- Users
  - Clearly identify user needs.
  - The proposal should be “user-centric”
  - The analysis of demand should describe who are the users and which are their needs.
  - What is the advantage of having solution at European level?





# Suggestions for a successful proposal

- Sustainability and use of the project results
  - How will the project continue after the end of the Community funding?
  - Which are the relations between the project activities and its continuation?
  - How are the relations between the partners going to be managed after the end of the project?
  - Which are the benefits for the partners?
  - Avoid generic statements



# The Evaluation Process

Thank you for your  
attention!

Good Luck

